MR. PUTHUPALLI S. KRISHNA PILLAI: Sir, I move that the Government Trading Taxation Bill be taken into consideration.

MR. M. K. GOPALA PILLAI: I second it.

The motion was put to vote and carried

Clauses 1 and 2.

The question that clauses 1 and 2 do form part of the Bill was put and carried.

The clauses were added to the Bill.

Preamble.

The question that the preamble do form part of the Bill was put and carried.

The preamble was added to the Bill.

Title.

The question that the title do form part of the Bill was put and carried.

The title was added to the Bill.

MR. PUTHUPALLI S. KRISHNA PILLAI: No amendment to the Bill has been proposed and therefore I propose to move that the Bill be passed. But before that there is a slight verbal alteration to be made. In sub-clause (1) of clause 1 after the figure "111" add the figure "5".

The amendment was put to vote and carried.

MR. PUTHUPALLI S. KRISHNA PILLAI: I move that the Bill be passed.

MR. M. K. GOPALA PILLAI: I second it.

The question that the Bill be passed was put to vote and carried.

THE TRAVANCORE REGISTRATION ACT AMENDMENT BILL.

MR. PUTHUPALLI S. KRISHNA PILLAI: Sir, I rise to introduce the Travancore Registration Act Amendment Bill. As honourable members might see it deals with only two clauses. The first clause is intended to obviate certain difficulties arising from the existing provision relating to the production of documents by authorised agents. It sometimes happens that a document produced by an agent for registration becomes invalid as a result of some defect in the appointment of the agent. This may be discovered only several years after, but it may be too late to rectify the defect. Hence the first clause.

The other clause deals with the deletion of Section 48 of the Act. Section 48 lays down that copies of some indexes should be prepared and kept in the Central Records Office. These records do not serve any useful purpose. The originals themselves are kept in the Registration Office and they are checked by the District Registrar. The copies prepared under Section 48 are swelling the records in the Central Office. These records are not made use of for any purpose, either by the parties or by the Department. Hence it is proposed to repeal this provision. I move that the Bill be read in the Assembly.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

MR. M. K. GOPALA PILLAI: I second it.

The motion was put to vote and carried.

SECRETARY: The title of the Bill shall be the Travancore Registration Act Amendment Bill.

MR. PUTHUPALLI S. KRISHNA PILLAI: I request you Sir, to suspend the standing order and permit me to move that the Bill be taken into consideration.

MR. M. K. GOPALA PILLAI: I second it.

The motion was put to vote and carried.

Clauses 1 to 3.

The question that clauses 1 to 3 do form part of the Bill was put to vote and carried.

The clauses were added to the Bill.

Preamble.

The question that the preamble do form part of the Bill was put to vote and carried.

The preamble was added to the Bill.

Title.

The question that the title do form part of the Bill was put to vote and carried.

The title was added to the Bill.

MR. PUTHUPALLI S. KRISHNA PILLAI: Sir, I now move that the Bill be pessed. But before doing that, I beg to move the following amendment.

"In sub-clause (1) of clause 1 add the figure "5" after the fiigure "111".

MR. M. K. GOPALA PILLAI: I second it.

The amendment was put to vote and carried.

The question that the Bill be passed was put to vote and carried.

THE TRAVANCORE PATENTS AND DESIGNS BILL.

MR. PUTHUPALLI S. KRISHNA PILLAI: I rise to introduce the Travancore Patent and Designs Bill. We have already an Act relating to it. So the question may be asked why we should have a new Act on the lines proposed in this Bill. The reason is very simple and it is explained in the Statement of Objects and Reasons attached to the Bill. Our Act is Act X of 1090 and it was based on the British Indian Act II of 1911. Since then no attempt was made to keep the law up to date. It is now found that we are far behind the times. Protection has been given to Patents registered in this State, but a Patient so registered should get protection in British India and other States like Cochin, Mysore and Hyderabad. If that is to be obtained we have to give reciprocal protection to the Patents registered in those countries also. To acheive this our law should correspond to the law prevailing in those countries. The British Indian Act on which our Act is based has

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly